

networkMaryland™ Advisory Group  
November 20, 2007  
Annapolis, Maryland



**Attendees:**

**Advisory Group**

Sidney Drake  
Nate Archey  
Ron Brothers  
John Gallagher  
Chuck Bristow  
Ron Ward  
Stuart Ragland  
Mike McCarty

**Guest**

Jason Ross  
Robert Bowman  
Ed Quattrone  
Chuck Hutzell  
Dan Vestal  
Jerry Ryznar

**DBM**

Ellis Kitchen  
Greg Urban  
Cheryl Lincoln  
Joe Scher  
Joanne Rusk

## **Welcome & Introductions**

Greg Urban called meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.

Greg introduced himself, Ellis Kitchen, Cheryl Lincoln and Joe Scher and said that Tim Kwong was on a well-deserved vacation.

The visitors introduced themselves.

There was not a quorum; therefore, a vote could not be taken on the Minutes from the September 18, 2007 meeting.

Chuck Bristow noted that on the last page of the September Minutes there was a partial sentence. It should have stated that Sidney Drake asked about invoices and what intranet services would be available.

Ellis Kitchen noted that:

- Since the network is fully built, the next step is adding additional services under the network. One initiative for FY'09 is to discuss development of new products/services for customers and Ellis would like to gather ideas toward that end.

## **State of the Network**

### **Operational Customers**

- There are 73 subscribers as of today, including
  - 79 ISP circuits, an increase of two since the last meeting
  - 87 SwGI circuits, which represents a change of two,
  - 1174 layer 2 circuits
  - 10 VPN connections (no change)

### **Customer Provisioning**

69 circuits are currently in customer's testing/implementation phase  
30 new circuits have been provisioned since the last meeting  
19 circuits have been accepted by customers since last meeting.

### **Growth Chart**

We are close to 1200 circuits.

### **Executive Branch**

There have been many changes to this group of users, as denoted by red asteriks

### **Non Executive Branch**

There have been quite a few changes to this group of users, denoted by red asterisks provided on handouts.

### **Non-State Customers**

Includes Caroline County, Washington County Public Network and Women, Infants & Children Program (WIC).

### **New Customers**

Department of Agriculture – Internet service  
Washington County Government-Hagerstown  
Women, Infants & Children (WIC) Program – L2 connection to their Data Center in Beltsville  
Caroline County – ISP & SwGI (out of MSC in Denton)

### **Operations**

- Consulting Services Engagements

DPSCS: Turn up networkMD on-net connections

OPD: Turn up networkMD on-net connections

WCC: Migrate HQ connections from a leased line to fiber. Have leased services to migrate over to the network.

MSP: Migrate from DPSCS's WAN. This is a change to their technology.

SBE: Set up a syslog server for security logging (a consulting arrangement)

- Working with Agencies to update WAN architecture, which is mostly leveraging infrastructure we've put in place

MSP

DPSCS

MDOT

JUD/JIS

- DNS servers: hardware installation at POPs by December
- Re-provisioned Archives L2 connection between Hall of Records and UMBC onto newer equipment
- Purchased Kiwi Syslog software for firewall logging
- Internal Operations and Support

Continuing to identify and gather FMIS legacy network equipment for trade-in or for surplus;

DC Power Plant maintenance contract is up for renewal. It should be on the street in approximately a month.

### **Project Status**

- Migration of backbone from ATM to Native IP  
Realize reduction in management/maintenance costs  
Use MPLS to provision Layer 2 circuits  
Use Ethernet over TDM (EoTDM) for MSC connectivity, which is much cheaper than ATM
- Schedule  
Phase I: AMAN – Completed in October  
Phase II: UMCP/Annapolis/Baltimore core-November (then will be able to decommission 2 switches in Baltimore, which will save \$75,000 p/year in maintenance)  
Phase III: Western Maryland – December (Frederick & Hagerstown)  
Phase IV: Southern Maryland – January '08 (LaPlata & Leonardtown)  
Phase V: Multiservice Centers – June '08 (about 12 sites)  
There will not be impact to customers (example, College of Southern Maryland--Phase IV—will go to Ethernet connection)
- UMBC POP  
UMBC POP completed  
Connects to backbone at UMCP and 6 St. Paul  
Archives have been migrated to permanent networkMD equipment and services re-provisioned.

- Catonsville MSC  
Fiber between UMBC and Catonsville MSC completed  
Equipment deployed; punch list items remain
- Towson POP  
Will connect to backbone via the UMBC POP  
Baltimore County fiber splicing completed  
networkMD awaiting fiber splicing along I-695 to UMBC  
Completion expected in December  
No ATM will be deployed at this POP
- Salisbury MSC  
Initially connect to network via a leased line  
PMP is in development (waiting for hardware validation)  
DS3 clear channel circuit to Easton is completed  
No ATM will be deployed at this POP
- Denton MAN  
Connects subscribers to the Eastern Shore microwave backbone  
All parts procured and received  
Installed equipment in 7 buildings in Denton  
Moving our presence in the County Data Center to the building's  
telecommunications room (extending fiber)  
Preparing WAN request forms for subscribers to assist in transition  
from off-net leased services to on-net circuits. This is a change from  
our past procedure. Subscribers will submit the forms if they want to  
proceed forward.
- Cambridge MAN  
Resource share with Bay Country Communications  
Install equipment in 4 buildings in Cambridge (fiber is in more than 4)  
Serve 17 agency connections  
PMP completed and approved  
Equipment has been received  
Fiber installation by Bay Country Communications is in progress

### **networkMaryland™ Overview**

UMBC and Catonsville are new additions

### **Projects in Planning**

- Western Maryland Microwave  
Partnership with UMATS and Sailor.  
Reevaluation has caused this project to be discontinued
- Washington County Connectivity  
MOU with WCPN  
networkMaryland will establish a POP in Hagerstown  
WCPN will receive SwGI and tower access  
networkMaryland will receive last mile access to subscribers

- Fiber Projects
  - St. Mary's County: Receive one pair of fiber from Leonardtown MSC to US 301, connecting to LaPlata and last mile subscriber access. The county gets ISP connectivity.
  - Resource sharing agreement with Fibergate: Additional fiber in Montgomery and Prince George's Counties and to Fort Detrick. Agreement drafted.
  - Frederick MAN: Add an additional POP in Frederick and build a diverse fiber path to the city. Partnership with Frederick County Government. Relies on Fibergate fiber. There was a city network outage and they're looking to mitigate this situation

### **Other Initiatives/Additional Services**

- Transport Services
  - Ethernet everywhere: Support Ethernet handoff for non-MSC locations
  - Clear channel (non-ATM) access: Provide greater than T1 bandwidth and support QoS
  - Times have changed. We have a transport model, but where can we go from here? networkMD is a tool, but how can agencies better do their job and achieve their mission?
- Network Services
  - VPN: Support small and remote offices and teleworkers (example, the Registers of Wills)
  - Voice: Circuit emulation; PBX trunking; VOIP
  - Video-conferencing
  - These issues were brought up at ITAC. Stuart Ragland said he understood that even elected officials were interested in video-conferencing.

Ellis noted that the State House would be closing after the 2008 Legislative Session, reopening prior the next session. The Governor's staff may move to Baltimore. Thus, video-conferencing might be a priority.

Mike McCarty asked what was proposed for video-conferencing. Could it be offered via transport services? Possibly offer blocks of time and streaming? Ellis suggested that he'd like input from the group.

Rob Bowman asked about the feasibility of a survey for services. Ellis said that ITAC was considering the many ideas on the table. However, we don't want to get in the off-net mode. We have expertise and can do more than routers and switches but only if it makes sense.

Stuart Ragland suggested setting up an IT museum to showcase marketing—where we’ve been and where we’re going. Virginia doesn’t have networkMD, and they’re still building. Virginia has a “buyer’s club” for services. NetworkMD reflects very well on the state. There followed a discussion on trunking and PSCN connections. Greg Urban invited members to submit ideas for discussion

- Application Services
  - Consolidated directory services
    - An aggregated situation which is workable.
    - Mike McCarty suggested that consolidating directory services would be very useful to counties and entities such as libraries.
  - Hosted email for agencies
  - Meeting Collaboration/Video conferencing
    - Some agencies have it and some don’t.
    - The University System provides a Distance Learning Network which we might explore.
- Data Center Services
  - Remote storage (SAN)
  - Remote backup (to disk offsite)
  - Data center collocation (we do some now)
  - Managed servers
  - Managed web hosting/application hosting (example, Time Entry)
  - The goal is to leverage resources and dollars.
- Rate Structure
  - Reduction in overall subscriber costs and bandwidth rates
  - Better alignment of costs and subscriber fees
    - Installation charges
    - Port charges
  - Introduction of new products and services
    - Ethernet everywhere
    - “Straw Man” cost model built and being analyzed
    - Agency allocations are due at the end of the month. (Greg has to provide numbers by 11/26/07)

Jason Ross asked how ISP was holding up. Hottest circuits run at 65%; UMATS – 50%. We have enough room. We’re re-competing the contract in June.

Mike McCarty noted that fiber was being put into Lexington Market and possibly into 300 Lexington. This should reduce the cost. Mike asked if it were possible/feasible for networkMD (the State) to bid jointly with other agencies. Ellis pointed out that most State master contracts have the provision that other agencies,

entities, municipalities, etc. could piggyback onto the master contract but that we would look into the suggested possibility of joint bidding. Jason Ross suggested that possibly the State could work with other agencies/entities to include their requirements/needs when developing an RFP. Greg Urban will approach Norwin Malmberg for ideas.

The next Advisory Group meeting will be held January 15<sup>th</sup>, (possibly in Annapolis), although we may try to coordinate with the ITAC meeting. The next Engineering meeting will be held December 10 at 1:30 at UMBC.

Mike McCarty asked is there was any word from PSC. None yet.

**Meeting adjourned**

Greg Urban adjourned the meeting at 11:10 a.m.